

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

				. —
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/185,212	11/03/1998	HIROSHI MAEDA	48742	5152
7	7590 01/17/2002			
Dike, Bronstein, Roberts & Cushman Intellectual Property Practice Group EDWARDS & ANGELL			EXAMINER	
			WALLERSON, MARK E	
P.O. Box 9169 Boston, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2622	11
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2002	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Maeda et al

Advisory Action

Examiner

09/185,212

Mark Wallerson

Art Unit 2622

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REP Therefor rejection allowance	LY FILED Jan 10, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. e, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for e; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) 🗌	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ь) 💢	expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
extens approp set in mailing	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate sion fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The priate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the g date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.□ A 3	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
_ re	he proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with equisite fees.
	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c) ⊔	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) [they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	TE: The amendments made to claims 1 and 14 require further search and/or consideration.
140	The amendments made to claims 1 and 14 regard tartiful society and considerations
4.□ A -	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
5.□ N se	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a eparate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
	he a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \square request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the oplication in condition for allowance because:
	he affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised y the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. 🛛 F	or purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
С	laim(s) allowed:
	laim(s) objected to:
	laim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-17
9.□ т	he proposed drawing correction filed on all has bleen approved by the Examine
0. No	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
1.□ Otl	ner: MARK WALLERSON PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 2622